EUMS Site Based Management Team Agenda 4/13/16

At	tendees							•					
	Denveh Hill			Support Cindy Price				D. 1. 1.4.	Mist	sty Tarlton lody Florentino			
6 th grade	Charlotte Kennedy			Clerical Doris Buttrell				Related Arts					
T th 1	Evelyn Funderbu	2			1								
7 th grade	Bo Jennings												
	Amanda Brigden	1			Dr. Anne Radke								
8 th grade	Devan Schafer			Admin				Parents					
	Sandy Lyerly				Yubely Zolke					_			
	Sandy Lycity									_			
				W	nat					Who	How Long		
	inutes from Apri									Hill	5 minutes		
	ous minutes and N		<u> </u>	oved						11111	5 minutes		
Benchmark	Data from each g	grade l	level										
6 th Math:													
6 th Math	PLC provided deta	iled in	fo on s	scores and	strategies to use	e data f	for impro	ovement.					
Dr. Radk	e proposed to have	a char	rt in th	e agenda i	n which student	s docu	ment the	ir data. Mrs. Hil	1				
	t she does not thin												
	d or gets lost. This	s type o	of data	ı is sensitiv	ve information w	ve don	't want o	ther students to					
have acce													
As a team	n, we analyze com				nchmark data.								
	Benchmark 1: E	UMS - 52	%, UCPS	- 53%	Benchmark 4: 6	UMS - 64	1%, UCPS – 6	6%					
	Teacher Name	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	Teacher Name	Block 1	Block 2 Blo	ck 3					
	Cassada	50.9	48.4	43.8	Cassada	68.5		1.3					
	Kriessler	56.9	64.8	84.9	Kriessler	67.8		3.5					
	Hill	61.2	53.3	49.3	Hill Honeycutt	74 55		0.9					
	Honeycutt	52	54	60	Smith	- 55		2.6					
	Smith			25.3									
	Benchmark 2: E	UMS - 64	%, UCPS	- 61%	Benchmark 5: 8	UMS - 51	1%, UCPS – 5	1%					
	Teacher Name	Block 1.	Block 2 B	Block 3	Teacher Name	Block 1	Block 2 Blo	ck 3					
	Cassada	70.1	59.4	47	Cassada								
	Kriessler	60.2	71.2	85.5	Kriessler	58.5	69.3 86.3	2					
	Hill	69	71.7	68.8	Hill	60.9		2.5					
	Honeycutt	50	52	62	Honeycutt	34	53 57 31.1	5			tes		
	Smith			24.3						All	inutes		
	Benchmark 3: E	UMS - 63	%, UCPS	- 60%						A.	B		
	Teacher Name	Block 1	Block 2 E	Block 3							10		
	Cassada	67.1	56.8	46.3									
	Kriessler	65.4	79.6	88.2									
	Hill	77.8	71.7	71.9									
	Honeycutt	49	59	71									
~	Smith			25.5									
	curriculum:		-		.								
Re-loop based on teacher strength and student weakness within a specific standard													
Pre-assessing then re-looping before the county benchmarks													
• Small group strategy groups. Weekly math													
Homework spirals with decimal and fraction operations													
• Students self-assess specific homework items on a scale of understanding 0-2. 0 being I do not													
understand and 2 being I can teach it to someone else.													
• Gradual release model is used with the same scale as homework for students to assess their own									wn				
understanding of classwork. 2's work with 1's or independently. 0 work with the teacher in a small group.													
	riting the "I can" s	statem	ent as	"I will" in	students own w	ords							
	ie Techniques		40	111	2.00001100 0 0011 00	40							
	rovide written stu	ident f	eedha	ck weeklu	,								
	can check list S			•									
		,011-10	POLICI	s gi auto									

BENCHMARK 1-Prehistory and Emerging Civilizations of the Middle East				
Teacher Name	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	
Johnson	73.30%	72%	70.00%	
Poulos	76.70%	70.4%	70%%	
		·		(score reflects percentage on correct answers)
Benchmark 2-Classical Civilizations of Medieval Europe				
Teacher Name	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	
Johnson	64%	63%	52%	
Poulos	47.70%	62%	59%	
		(score reflects percentage on correct answers)		
BENCHMARK 3-Ancient Civilizations of Asia				
Teacher Name	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	
Johnson	66%	68%	52%	
Poulos	50.00%	61.5%%	56%	
				(score reflects percentage on correct answers)
BENCHMARK 4-Ancient Civilizations of				
Africa	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	
Africa Teacher Name	DIOOR I		62.5	
	67.9	63.8	02.0	
eacher Name		63.8 75.9	75%	(score reflects

Mrs. Johnson's intervention strategies: In order to increase my Benchmark scores, I have started working more with maps and nonfiction text analysis. I noticed on almost all benchmarks that my students couldn't read a map of any kind, nor could they dig deep into a text to infer or to make conclusions. We have been using an atlas and open ended questions from the atlas to understand how to read and interpret maps. We have been using short text articles each week to teach them how to break down the vocabulary and really think about what the question is asking them before they answer it. My hope is that this will also help them on the EOGs as it is mainly nonfiction text. One other thing I noticed from the benchmarks is that they do not have good study skills. We have worked on how to chunk text to help them remember it better, only look at necessary information, and to reread notes for understanding.

Poulos' Intervention Strategies: In order to increase benchmark scores this semester, my focus has been on information text (maps) and non-fiction text analysis. The scores have reflected on item analysis that reading a map has been the highest struggle for a majority of my students. Informational texts have been the target and we are focusing on key words, how to determine word meaning and how to read a map. Emphasis has been placed on map skills. Atlas activities on the various civilizations of the 6th grade content area has been utilized and we are trying to reinforce ELA skills in SS to assist in the increase of SS benchmark scores as well as school wide scores. Reading strategies have been put in place as well as study skills within the daily classroom routine. Stamina for reading is going to be a target the last few weeks of school prior to EOGs in Reading and Math. My focus will continue to be ways to bring up their scores in SS as well as a well-rounded student in all content areas.

cth a :									<u> </u>
th Science									
Randall: I use a warm up activity (ofte	n quastions fro	m old bo	nchmark	e stata t	act form I	NC NV a	nd TV) as		
a daily review. The questions									
go through the test questions(
available to students during									
students individually on conte									
concept being taught, I do bad	ck up and retea	ch it, in a	a differer	nt manne	r, to give	students a	dditional		
exposures to the content.									
Ross:	•••		2						
Remediation consists of cons	tant repetition/	discussion	on of pre	vious lea	rned mat	erial.			
Here is the data									
	BENCHMARK 1	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3					
	Randall	66%	72%	64%					
	Ross	69%	86%	59%					
	1035	0370	0076	3370					
	•								
	Benchmark 2	Block 1 E	Block 2 B	lock 3					
	Randall	70%	63%	60%					
	Ross	72%	86% 64	4%					
	11033	12.70	0070 0.	1 /0					
th TTT A									
th ELA 7 th ELA is not ready to report									
7 ELA is not ready to report	•								
th Math									
7th Math is not ready to report	t.								
et a .									
th Science								_	
7 th Science is not ready to rep	ort.								
th Social Studies									
7th Social Studies is not ready	to report.								
	2								
								1	1

 8th ELA Mrs. Schafer explained to the committee that her PLC is doing a great job with tracking data and using data to drive their instruction. They have seen student growth from benchmark to benchmark. . Mrs. Schafer stated that her PLC was able to identify common areas of growth. For example students were struggling with finding evidence in the text. ELA PLC implemented informational text unit in which students got to practiced lots of that. 8th ELA is still practicing informational texts to their students. Mrs. Schafer also said that there is a need for our students to practice word stems. Dr. Radke agreed and suggested that from 6th through 8th, students should be practicing word roots, prefixes and suffixes. 		
8 th Math		
Mrs. Schafer shared math scores. She stated that even though the look low overall, there is growth.		
8 th Science Ms. Brigden explained that the science PLC is having students practice science vocabulary.		
8 th Social Studies No information was shared.		
MTSS The MTSS structure that we will have at East Union will follow the model below: Start thinking of names of people who want to be part of this and take on some of the roles mentioned above. Training will be in the summer time.	Radke	10 minutes
Proctors -If you belong to a church in the area, or some sort of organization, please spread the word and get volunteers to proctor for EOGs. June 1 st and 2 nd from 8:00am to 12:00noon.	Radke	5 minutes
Open Forum Mrs. Plue sent an email in which has expressed her concern in regards to teachers' need to be more careful about casual adult conversations when students are around. Students are reporting that teachers are leaving and other situations that they don't need to be aware of. Mrs. Plue expressed that she would like to offer to show EUMS parents how to lead the parent portal portion of Power School on their Smartphones. This is to boost involvement in their child's day-to day academic progress. She also requested an explanation on the school website on how parents can voice their concerns through Site Based. She sees that many parents are not aware they can be involved and perhaps something published on the website, a connect-ed or a letter home could help.		5 minutes
Dr. Rake. Stated that the parent portal tutorial is a great idea. We will definitely add it to our Eagle Camp/open house.		5 mii
Mrs. Lyerly addressed the committee to thank all of our staff for their support and love for our kids. It has been a rough year and all the support from staff made it a good one.		
Mrs. Schafer would like for the school to come up with a system to monitor students when they transition to take a test for different accommodations.		

The next meeting will be next school year